

# Logical Operators

This sheet is just a broad overview of some of the terminology of logic. For a more in depth discussion please look through additional resources. *Discrete and Combinatorial Mathematics* by R. Grimaldi contains an accessible chapter on logic which will provide the reader with a much more thorough understanding of the basics of logic. Another book worth looking at is *Set Theory and Logic* by R. Stoll. These books and many other on the subject, ranging from introductory to advanced, are available in the Geoffrey R. Weller Library at UNBC.

## 1 Propositions

Logical connectives are operators that operate on *propositions*. A proposition is a statement (declarative sentence) that is either true or false *but not both*. Examples of propositions are "The sky is blue", " $1=6$ ", and even "The LSC is on the 2nd floor of the Teaching and Learning Building". From now on we will be using letters to stand for propositions. For example:  $p$ : the sky is blue  $q$ : the grass is green. Now in order to write "The sky is blue *and* the grass is green" we can simply write " $p$  *and*  $q$ ". This will make expressing our ideas a lot easier.

## 2 Operators

Now that we have these things we call propositions we need ways of putting them together. Really what

### 2.3 Or

The final primitive operator is OR which is formally called *disjunction*. *p or q* is usually denoted  $p \vee q$  or sometimes  $p + q$ . The behaviour of OR is shown below:

$p$	$q$	$p \vee q$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

An important thing to notice is that if  $p$  is true and  $q$  is true then  $p$  or  $q$  is true. In conversational English we often use or in the exclusive sense, that is *p or q* is taken to mean *p or q but not both*, but in mathematics we use an inclusive or unless otherwise specified.

### 2.4 Implies

The last connective we will talk about is IMPLIES. *Implication* occurs often so we give extra attention to it. *p implies q* is denoted  $p \rightarrow q$ . Here is the truth table for implication:

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

When we say *p implies q* we are really saying the same thing as *q or not p* as shown in the next table. Notice how the two rightmost columns match.

$p$	$q$	$\neg p$	$\neg p \vee q$	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T

There are many different ways that we say *p implies q*. Just think of them as “logical synonyms”. The following all mean the exact same thing as *p implies q*.

1. *If p, then q*
2. *p only if q*
3. *p is sufficient for q*
4. *q is necessary for p*

It is very important to understand that  $p \rightarrow q$  is not the same thing as  $q \rightarrow p$ . Perhaps the easiest way to make sense of this is through a sentence. Prince George’s strange weather aside, we know that *If it is raining, then it is cloudy*; however, we can agree that the statement *If it is cloudy, then it is raining* is not true, the sky could simply be overcast.

You will probably hear of things like *contrapositive*, *converse*, and *inverse* when talking about implication. These are really just different rearrangements of some original implication. The meaning is shown below.

Original	Contrapositive	Converse	Inverse
$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	$q \rightarrow p$	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$

You might also hear of *p if and only if q*, denoted  $p \leftrightarrow q$  and sometimes written  $p \equiv q$ . This is just the same thing as  $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$ . Essentially when we state  $p \leftrightarrow q$  we are saying “p is logically the